UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V	<u>'icente Ju</u>	uaquin Felix Case Number: 11-01702M-001		
	ordance v ablished		Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts Check one or both, as applicable.)		
	•	y clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ending trial in this case.			
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pentrial in this case.				
			PART I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
		a	crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		aı	n offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
		a	n offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
		a de	felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.		
	(2)	The offens	se described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, cal offense.		
	(3)	A period imprisonm	riod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from sonment) for the offense described in finding 1.		
	(4)	reasonabl	Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will y assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not his presumption.		
			Alternative Findings		
	(1)	There is p	robable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
		⊠ fo	or which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in <u>21 USC 952, 960, and 963</u> and 21 USC 841		
		uı uı	nder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)		
×	(2)	The defer	ndant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of swill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
			Alternative Findings		
\boxtimes	(1)		serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure trance of the defendant as required.		
\boxtimes	(2)	No conditi	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
	(3)		serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate tive witness or juror).		
	(4)				

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).
²Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c)

Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 2:11-cr-00362-JJT Document 9 Filed 02/16/11 Page 2 of 3

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convince evidence as to danger that:		
	The defendant was caught at the Port of Entry with more than one kilogram of heroin in his shoes He admitted		
	he was to be paid for bringing in the heroin. He admits to using illegal drugs.		
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
×	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of 10yrs incarceration and a maximum of imprisonment.		
The c	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		
In add	dition:		
Infor	mation remains unverified. Pretrial Services has been unable to reach family members who reside in the United St		
<u>despi</u>	te repeated efforts. Although the defendant is a US citizen, at this time it does not appear that he has anywhere to		
within	the United States. He has substantial ties to Mexico, including his mother and sisters. Except for the three year		
reside	ed in the United States, the rest of his life he has lived in Mexico and was living there at the time of his arrest		

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:11-cr-00362-JJT Document 9 Filed 02/16/11 Page 3 of 3

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

tates Magistrate Judge

DATED this 16th day of February, 2011.

Page 3 of 3